

Society cannot afford to send agents about the State to correct the county lists; this work is part of the duties of county society secretaries. The publication office is doing all possible to make the register accurate and to keep it so, and the more you aid in the work, the more nearly will the result approximate to accuracy.

Important action was taken by the Board of Medical Examiners at the meeting on October 26th. After consultation with the Attorney-General of California, and Attorneys-General of other States, it appeared that legally there should be no return of the fee paid by a candidate, in case of his rejection. The board ruled that it would retain half the fee and return the other half; probably further action will be taken at some subsequent time resulting in the retention of the whole fee. By unanimous vote of the board it was decided to abolish the "condition" system. Instead, a motion was passed to the effect that a minimum of 50% must be attained in each and every one of the nine subjects, and a general average of 75% in all. On motion, it was unanimously decided to send an official notice to every illegal practitioner known to the board, warning that unless he ceased from violation of the law the board would lend its influence to and co-operate with the county medical societies in enforcing the law. This is the first time that the Board of Medical Examiners, as such, has officially taken cognizance of the existence of illegal practitioners, or taken any action in the matter.

The suggestion made by Dr. Goodfellow, in the last JOURNAL, that notices of clinics, operations, etc., be sent to this office and posted for information of physicians from out of the city, has already been acted upon by some men. We are now receiving a few notices and hope, with the passage of time, to receive still more. Members of the Society visiting San Francisco should bear this in mind and come to the office to find out what clinics or operations are available. Beginning with January the JOURNAL will publish a list of all such notices sent in during the previous month, in order to give some sort of idea as to the nature of the material offered. Probably it will take a little time for the men who have control of the clinical material to learn to remember to send us notices, but the labor is not much and the result may be very great. We feel sure that members of the Society will highly appreciate the courtesy of the operators and clinicians in thus extending to them the opportunity of benefiting by this clinical material; and on the other hand, the operators and clinicians will be brought more closely in touch with their fellow members about the State.

Please, gentlemen of San Francisco, send in your notices; and members outside of San Francisco, come to the office when you are in the city and learn what material is offered.

#### EXAMINATIONS, OCTOBER 25th and 26th.

The following is the result of the recent examination. It will be seen that the average is just about the same as previous examinations. The questions in Chemistry have been criticized but we are advised by the board that no candidate failed for failure to pass in this subject.

##### PASSED.

University of California, 1904—84%, 83%, 80%, 77%, 76%, 76%, 76%, 75%.  
Cooper Med. Coll. 1904—84%, 83%.  
Hahnemann Med. Coll., S. F., 1904—79%, 77%, 77%, 75.  
Coll. of P. and S., N. Y., 1884—80.  
Northwestern Med. University, 1899—77.  
Johns Hopkins University, 1904—76%, 75.  
67.8% Passed.

##### FAILED.

University of California, 1904—70%.  
College of P. and S. San Francisco, 1904—61, 60%.  
College of P. and S. of Baltimore, 1904—66%.  
Univ. of Southern Calif., 1904—58%.  
Univ. of Iowa, 1904—64%.  
Harvard Med. School, 1896—64%.  
Med. Dept. University of Mich, 1881—66%.  
Albany Med. Coll., N. Y., 1892—58%.  
Calif. Med. Coll., 1904—(Failed third examination.)  
32.2% Failed.

Univ. of Calif.....	8	passed; 1 failed.
Cooper Med Coll.....	2	" 0 "
Coll. P. and S., S. F.....	0	" 2 "
Hahneman Med. Coll.....	4	" 0 "
Univ. Sou. Calif.....	0	" 1 "
Calif. Med. Coll.....	0	" 1 " (third time)

#### NEXT STATE EXAMINATION.

There will be an examination in San Francisco, December 6th, 1904.

#### Publication Committee Meeting.

The Publication Committee met on November 11th, and unanimously passed the following Resolution:

*Resolved*—1st, that in the opinion of this Committee, it is not in accordance with medical ethics for Medical Journals to advertise secret remedies.

2nd—That the definition of a remedy or medicine shall be "Any agent or substance used in the treatment of disease." (Gould's Dictionary).

3rd—The quantities of the active ingredients of any remedy advertised in the CALIFORNIA STATE JOURNAL OF MEDICINE must be published with all advertising statements relative to such remedy.

To the Trustees of the American Medical Association: "While many publishers are entirely without conscience and will continue to accept contracts for medical advertising which is objectionable and usually fraudulent in intent, yet it is a hopeful sign when the editors of the better class of periodicals recognize the inquiry they are parties to in accepting medical advertising, and forthwith decide to decline all such business."—*Fort Wayne Medical Journal-Magazine*. Here is another journal that seems to regard the advertising medium as responsible for the character of the advertising which it prints. Will our trustees wake up some day, or are they like the little ostrich who knew it all?